Approved For Release 2000/08/22 : CIA-RDP61-00274A000200170007-1

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

5UBJECT: Discussion, informally, with personnel, office of the DD/S, re Personnel Records in OPC days, Prior to a Central Personnel Office - 7 Sept. 56

25X1A9a

BY:

25X1A9a

25X1A9a 1. was first Chief, OPC and later Chief, Personnel, Covert.

25X1A9a

2. Regarding the OPC days: Col. issued an order that personnel file folders were to be centralized at the OPC level, that divisions and branches were to turn over such material to office together with a certification that all such material was released. Great bundles of material were received; also the certifications. Yet, later, had occasions to observe division personnel files which were more complete and in better order than his files. He found they had made copies of all they wented to keep, that they continued to retain papers which should have been in the central personnel folder. He believes it was proven then that operating DD/P divisions and branches are going to keep personnel material and they won't be stopped. He observed that the present central personnel office has certainly never tried to stop it.

3. I posed, as <u>only</u> an off-the-record postulate, the question of the feasibility of centralising official personnel files at the division level in DD/P. Nr. considers it an excellent idea, stated:

25X1A9a

- a. As indicated in 2 above, with the file centralised in OP, duplicates flourish.
- b. The division is the command echelon. Today, the field as well as headquarters/reports directly to the division level. Because it is a command level and because branches are contiguous to division 25X1A9a offices, believes the division chiefs could consolidate personnel files at the division level eliminating branch and desk copies.
 - c. Problems of sterilisation would be reduced because the files would be under division control. Only when a file was to go out of the division would sterilisation be necessary. This would be done by the division personnel officer.
 - d. One division's obtaining a file from another division would be simplified. Today OP is called; OP then checks with the division in which the subject employee is located to get release before sending the

SECOUNT

CECRET

file to the requester. If the release is not granted at once, several calls are obviously made before the file is sent on its way. Under the posed system, the requesting office would call the division's personnel officer who would have authority to release the file in almost all cases. Even when he did not have such authority, release would be more rapid than under today's system.

25X1A9a

e. Mr. believed that with the personnel folder at the division level it would be used more and would hold more papers than it does today. Knowing it was under their control, the operators would be more willing to put relevant papers in it. When an employee was transferred out of a division, Mr. believed that the division would review the file prior to release to remove any non-permanent data and to sterilize where necessary. He did not consider this to be a burden. He volunteered that 80 to 90% of the field correspondence involved personnel matters.

25X1A9a

f. He suggests Fk Division for a trial run. When he was there the division chief did not approve a plan to centralize the working personnel files (unofficial) at the division level. However they have a new chief who is reported to be more in favor of the plan. Ed would be the man to see.

25X1A9a

- g. He believed many problems would have to be solved before this could be effectuated; did not elaborate.
- 4. Other observations concerning personnel files:
- a. Back in OPC days every employee had a 2-day evaluation by Add, OTR. An evaluation report went in the personnel folder. It was keyed to the job for which the man was hired. It was not designed to be used as a toel for evaluating the man for a different job, but was being used that way. For this reason, removed them from the personnel folders also because he felt too many people without the need to know were reading the Add reports.

25X1A9a

25X1A9a

25X1A9a

Approved For Release 2000/08/22 : CIA-RDB61-00274A000200170007-1

25X1A9a

c. Another observations has proposed standardization of the personnel records to be maintained by the DL/F career beards. Believe he has written a memorandum on the subject.

Questions to be Considered Before Decentralizing the Official Personnel File

- 1. Should all the official file be decentralized? Perhaps the equivalent of a State Department administrative folder should remain in the Personnel Office. This is doubtful because many field personnel minutes are handled at the third echelon level.
- 2. Is the decentralization of the official file a separable phase or must other functions be decentralized simultaneously? It is separable.
 - a. Other functions which should be considered for subsequent decentralisation are:
 - (1) Preparation of the Notice of Personnel Action, EF-50 is under study to determine the feasibility of semi-automatic preparation by use of special machines. Proper utilization of the machines may require centralization of the function but if the machines can be used for other work, it may be practicable to use them at the third echelon.
 - (2) Maintenance of ceiling controls. As the ceiling is subdivided by third echelon components, it can be controlled at this level. Whether it should be is a question of procedures integration and should be decided after a detailed examination of the procedures of the Records and Services Division, OP.
 - (3) Preparation of personnel statistics. This, too, requires an analysis of procedures integration. Overall personnel statistics must be issued by a central group but the basic statistics can be maintained at any level if uniform procedures are used. The machine-preparation of personnel statistics in FE Division is a pilot study which should contribute to solution of this problem. The Office of Central Reference is also using IBM machines to create personnel statistics for its own use.
 - (h) Qualifications records are maintained by the Qualifications Analysis Branch, OP. The system should be uniform but the records may well be maintained by third echelons. Many keep some records now, the most complete one found being in the Office of Research and Reports.
 - (5) The new profile sheet could be maintained by third echelons although the procedure should be uniform for maximum utilization. Third echelons and career boards have been preparing profiles for some time.

CECDET

Approved For Release 2000/08/22 : CIA-RDP91-00274A000200170007-1

- b. Functions which should remain centralized ares
 - (1) Recruitment. Contralisation assures efficient utilisation of a single field force.
 - (2) Classification. This is technical professional work which is more economically administered centrally. Classification officers may be subject to unjustified pressure if they are under the control of third echelon chiefs.
 - (3) Personnel services deal directly with employees without regard to their organisational location and should remain centralized for economy and uniformity.
 - (h) Hilitary personnel services and controls would be more costly and lose integration if desentralised.
- 3. How can we assure that all assential personnel papers are maintained if the file is decentralised? There are personnel officers in third echelon offices. They should be made responsible for the proper maintenance of the desentralised official file. They should be aided and trained, if necessary, by a small group of personnel files and procedures technicians in the Office of Personnel. This same group should have a personnel files audit function such as the Civil Service Commission exercises for these agencies under its sontrol.
- 4. Should all third echelons maintain official personnel files? The operating components should, but it will probably be economical to maintain a second scholon file which will include the folders of staff office employees (not DD/P Semior Staffs).
- 5. Would it be better to put the official files in career boards? The beards are not operating groups and do not have the staffs to maintain the files. They should request the records they require. In DD/I this is no problem because the career board heads are third echelon directors.
- 6. Should the decentralization of the file be taken in several steps? Yes, in order to minimize confusion and maintain the necessary controls through development or extension of basic procedures. The steps should be by components, however, not by decentralising parts of the file to all third echelons simultaneously which would split an employee's folder.
- 7. Will the maintenance of a decentralised file require a larger staff? I do not have the proof but it should take a smaller one if we include the time of all the people who are maintaining convenience files which it is proposed to eliminate.